# Fungicide Resistance Management

### Part 1 – Evolution of Resistance

### Norman Lalancette, Ph.D. *Extension Specialist in Tree Fruit Pathology*

Rutgers Agricultural Research and Extension Center Bridgeton, New Jersey



## **Evolution of Fungicide Resistance**

#### Individual organisms have the ability to:



- grow,
- differentiate, and
- respond to environmental changes

#### Pathogenic Fungi

"Trained" to grow at ever higher concentrations ...

- Metal toxicants
- DMI fungicide triforine

## **Evolution of Fungicide Resistance**

Phenomic Adaptation (general)

Physiological adjustment of an individual organism without change in genetic constitution

Non-Genetic Resistance (specific)

Pathogenic fungus alters its physiology, enabling growth in a fungicide amended environment

### **Evolution of Fungicide Resistance** Non-Genetic Resistance

### **Little Practical Importance for Agriculture**

- 1. If fungicide removed ... resistance immediately lost (becomes sensitive)
- 2. No genetic change ... no inheritance of these acquired characteristics

# Evolution of Genetic Resistance



**Evolution of Fungicide Resistance** Development of Genetic Resistance

Probability of developing fungicide-resistant plant pathogens is dependent on:

### **Factors Influencing Evolution of Resistance**

- 1. Rate of mutation of genes conferring resistance
- 2. Rate of selection for these mutants

### **Evolution of Fungicide Resistance** Definitions of Mutation

### **Mutation**

**Def. #1:** A heritable change in the genetic material of an organism

**Def. #2:** A change in the sequence of nucleotide bases in the DNA polymer

#### **Types of Mutations – Examples**

Deletion

- Duplication
- InsertionInversion

### **Evolution of Fungicide Resistance** *Characteristics of Mutations*

- Mutation is a <u>chemical process</u>
- Important mutagenic agents:
  - Temperature, radiation, chemicals
- Low population frequency
  - ➤ 1 x 10<sup>-4</sup> to 1 x 10<sup>-9</sup>
  - Occurrence of dodine-resistant Venturia inaequalis mutants is 1 in 1,385,714
- Most mutations are deleterious

### **Evolution of Fungicide Resistance** Characteristics of Mutations

### What about Fungicides?



Some fungicides are mutagens at high concentrations, but ...

No evidence that directed mutagenesis occurs at doses used in practice

### **Evolution of Fungicide Resistance** Characteristics of Mutations

### **Do mutations result in fungicide resistance?**

Not entirely ...





• Selection for the resistant strains must occur next ...

## **Evolution of Fungicide Resistance**

## Selection for Resistant Strains



### **Evolution of Fungicide Resistance** Selection for Resistant Strains



### **Evolution of Fungicide Resistance** Selection for Resistant Strains

Fungicide-Sensitive Subpopulation Fungicide-Resistant Subpopulation

Will application of the fungicide select for resistance?

### Not necessarily!

Fungicide resistance is only one of many traits that bestow *fitness*!

### **Evolution of Fungicide Resistance** Selection and Fitness

One organism is more *fit* than another if it has:

1. Greater reproductive potential

2. Greater success of survival

**Fitness – relative reproductive success** 

The organism with greater *fitness* will have more of its genes present in succeeding generations

## **Evolution of Fungicide Resistance** Fitness Attributes of Fungal Plant Pathogens

#### Epidemiological Fitness Parameters

- Colonization
- Sporulation
- Latent period
- Infection efficiency
- Temperature range
- Moisture range .... and so on ....
- Fungicide resistance

Selection for other fitness parameters important to survival of newly resistant strain

### **Evolution of Fungicide Resistance** Selection Process

### Multidimensional ... consists of two phases

- **1.** Selection for resistance regardless of other traits
- **2.** Progressive organization of the genetic background for greater fitness (esp. via genetic recombination)

The longer the selection process in step 2 ("*aging*"), the greater the <u>persistence</u> of the resistant population; i.e., resistant pathogen becomes <u>more fit</u>

## **Evolution of Fungicide Resistance** Summary of Process

### **Development of a Resistant Population**

- **1.** Mutation in DNA bestowing resistance
- 2. Multidimensional selection process
  - Selection for resistance trait
  - Selection for other fitness parameters

# FUNGICIDE RESISTANCE MANAGEMENT

## PART 2 – FUNGICIDE CHEMISTRIES

#### Kari Peter, Ph.D. Assistant Professor - Tree Fruit Pathology Department of Plant Pathology and Environmental Microbiology

Penn State Fruit Research and Extension Center Biglerville, PA





College of Agricultural Sciences

### **Fungicide Chemistries**

#### Fungicide 101

#### •Fungicide Basics

- Protectant vs Penetrant
- Mode of action: single site vs multi-site

#### • Fungicide Resistance Action Committee (FRAC): Group codes

- Knowing the fungicides
  - Group Trade name Fungicide family Common Name
- Risk

#### Fungicide Chemistries Fungicide Basics: Protectant vs. Penetrant





#### Penetrants = Systemics



- "Contact"
- No movement into plant
- Applied prior to infection
- Needs to be re-applied
  - New growth
  - Not rainfast

Examples: Manzate, captan, copper

- Absorbed into plants following application
  Rainfast
- Less thorough coverage to be effective
- Protectant and/or "curative":
  - Inhibit/slow fungal growth
    - During early stage of infection
- Examples: Vangard, Flint, Pristine

Disease Management Strategies-Purdue Extension

#### Fungicide Chemistries Fungicide Basics: Mode of Action (MOA)

#### The specific way fungicide poisons the fungus: Disrupts important biochemical processes causing the fungus to die



### **Fungicide Chemistries**

Fungicide Basics: Fungicide Resistance Action Committee (FRAC)

#### FRAC: www.frac.info

- Established codes for fungicides based on their mode of action (FRAC Code)
- Get to know your fungicide label: Importance of <u>FRAC group codes on fungicide labels</u>

| QU POND.   |   |
|--|---|
| DuPont <sup>™</sup>  |   |
| Fontelis™  |   |
| fungicide GROUP  | FUNGICIDE   |
| Suspension Concentrate                                     |   |
| Active Ingredient  | cheo dece, De Weinhe  |
| Penthiopyrad   | RESISTANCE MANAGEMENT   |
| Other ingredients  | —— Repeated use of products for control of specific plant pathogens may lead to selection of resistant  |
| TOTAL  | strains of fungi and result in a reduction of disease control. Penthropyrad, the active ingredient in   |
| Contains 1.67 pounds of penthiopyrad per gallon of product | FONTELIS™, is one of EPA's Target Site of Action Group 7 fungicides (carboxamides). A disease           |
| EPA Reg. No. 352-834                                       | management program that includes rotation and/or tank mixing with non-Group 7 fungicides is             |
|  | essential to reduce the risk of fungicide resistance development. For guidance on a particular crop and |
|  | disease control situation, consult your state extension specialist for official state recommendations.  |

THE REPORT OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIP

#### Fungicide Chemistries Fungicide Basics: Knowing the fungicides

| Mode of<br>Action | FRAC<br>Group | Trade Name   | Fungicide Family           | Common<br>Name                             | Protectant<br>/Systemic |
|-------------------|---------------|--|----------------------------|--|-------------------------|
| Single-site       | 1             | Topsin M®  | Benzimidazoles/MBC         | Thiophanate-<br>methyl                     | Systemic                |
| Single-site       | 3             | Rubigan®<br>Indar®<br>Rally®   | DMI/SI                     | fenarimol<br>fenbuconazole<br>myclobutanil | Systemic                |
| Single-site       | 7             | Pristine <sup>®</sup> (7 + 11)<br>Fontelis <sup>®</sup>                  | Carboxamides/SDHI          | boscalid<br>penthiopyrad                   | Systemic                |
| Single-site       | 11            | Pristine <sup>®</sup> (7 + 11)<br>Flint <sup>®</sup>                     | Strobulurins/QoI           | pyraclostrobin<br>trifloxystrobin          | Systemic                |
| Multi-site        | M1            | Kocide <sup>®</sup> , Nu-Cop <sup>®</sup>                                | Inorganic                  | copper salts                               | Protectant              |
| Multi-site        | М3            | Carbamate <sup>®</sup><br>Dithane <sup>®</sup> ,<br>Manzate <sup>®</sup> | Dithiocarbamates<br>(EBDC) | Ferbam<br>Mancozeb                         | Protectant              |

Products with the **same FRAC number**: <u>Behave similarly = cross resistance</u> \*Except "M" = multi-site Products with **different FRAC numbers**: <u>Act differently</u>

#### Fungicide Chemistries Fungicide Basics: Fungicide-Associated Risk

#### Knowledge of mode of action: assessing positive indicators of risk

- Single site vs. multi-site
- Site of action known to become resistant to other fungicides

- High: Products having single-site of action Disease resistant populations have been discovered in more than one target pathogen
- Medium: Mutation of more than one target site Resistance formation is less frequent
- Low: Very rare or undocumented occurrence of resistance

#### Fungicide Chemistries Fungicide Basics: Fungicide-Associated Risk



Brent, K.J. and Hollomon, D.W. 2007. Fungicide Resistance in Crop Pathogens: How Can It Be Managed? Fungicide Resistance Action Committee

#### Fungicide Chemistries Fungicide Basics:Fungicide-Associated Risk

| Mode of<br>Action | FRAC<br>Group | Trade Name   | Fungicide Family           | Common<br>Name                             | Protectant<br>/Systemic | Risk                       |
|-------------------|---------------|--|----------------------------|--|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| Single-site       | 1             | Topsin M <sup>®</sup>  | Benzimidazoles/MBC         | Thiophanate-<br>methyl                     | Systemic                | High                       |
| Single-site       | 3             | Rubigan®<br>Indar®<br>Rally®   | SI                         | fenarimol<br>fenbucanazole<br>myclobutanil | Systemic                | Medium<br>Medium<br>Medium |
| Single-site       | 7             | Pristine <sup>®</sup> (7 + 11)<br>Fontelis <sup>®</sup>                  | Carboxamides/SDHI          | boscalid<br>penthiopyrad                   | Systemic                | Low – Med<br>Med – High    |
| Single-site       | 11            | Pristine® (7 + 11)<br>Flint®   | Strobulurins/QoI           | pyraclostrobin<br>trifloxystrobin          | Systemic                | Low – Med<br>High          |
| Multi-site        | M1            | Kocide <sup>®</sup> , Nu-Cop <sup>®</sup>                                | Inorganic                  | copper salts                               | Protectant              | Low                        |
| Multi-site        | М3            | Carbamate <sup>®</sup><br>Dithane <sup>®</sup> ,<br>Manzate <sup>®</sup> | Dithiocarbamates<br>(EBDC) | Ferbam<br>Mancozeb                         | Protectant              | Low                        |

Products with the **same FRAC number**: <u>Behave similarly = cross resistance</u> \*Except "M" = multi-site Products with **different FRAC numbers**: <u>Act differently</u>

#### Fungicide Chemistries Fungicide Basics: Take Home Messages

#### Pay attention to FRAC codes on the fungicide label

- FRAC codes based on mode of action (MOA)
- Site-specific = systemic = Risk for resistance
- Multi-site = protectant = Low risk for resistance

Products with the **same FRAC number**: <u>Behave similarly = cross resistance</u> (\*Except "M" = multi-site)

Products with **different FRAC numbers**: <u>Act differently</u>

# Fungicide Resistance Management

## Part 3 – Management Strategies

#### **Keith Yoder**

#### Research and Extension Tree Fruit Pathologist Dept. of Plant Pathology, Physiology, and Weed Science

Virginia Tech Agricultural Research and Extension Center Winchester, VA



## **Resistance Management Considerations**

Factors affecting on-set of resistance (apple scab): Selection pressure: heavy inoculum X heavy fungicide use Use history – number of applications in the orchard Long-term disease pressure- over the years; weather conditions affect selection pressure; fungicide residual Proximity to neighboring orchards with resistance Indications of problem: **Unexplained control failure** Lab test is best indicator of current resistance status Ultimately YOU decide if you are satisfied with control and what to do differently if you're not

## **Resistance Management Considerations**

On-set of resistance in an orchard: Benzimidazoles- Topsin M, Benlate; 20 applications in orchard Qols (strobilurins) - Flint, Sovran- 25 apps.; Pristine? SIs (sterol-inhibitors, DMIs, EBIs)- Rally, Rubigan, Procure; 10-30 apps at low rates, 60 or more apps. at high rates Dodine - Syllit, (Cyprex); 60 apps. APs (anilinopyrimidines) - Vangard, Scala SDHI's – moderately high risk??? Fontelis, fluopyram in Luna Sensation and Luna Tranquility, fluxapyroxad in Merivon.

Koller

#### **Classes of apple fungicides at risk for development of resistance**

| FRAC Chemical class    | Compound                      | Trade name(s)    |
|------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|
| Strobilurin (Qol)      | kresoxim-methyl               | Sovran           |
| (Group 11)             | trifloxystrobin               | Flint            |
|                        | pyraclostrobin + boscalid     | Pristine         |
| Carboximide (SDHI)     | boscalid + pyraclostrobin     | Pristine         |
| (Group 7)              | (not a carboximide)           |                  |
|                        | penthiopyrad                  | Fontelis         |
|                        | fluopyram + trifloxystrobin   | Luna Sensation   |
|                        | fluopyram + pyrimethanil      | Luna Tranquility |
|                        | fluxapyroxad + pyraclostrobin | Merivon          |
| Guanidine              | dodine                        | Syllit, Cyprex   |
| Sterol inhibitors (SI) | myclobutanil                  | Rally (Nova)     |
| (Group 3)              | triflumizole                  | Procure          |
|                        | fenbuconazole                 | Indar            |
|                        | difenoconazole+ cyprodinil    | Inspire (Super)  |
|                        | flutriafol                    | Topguard         |
| Anilinopyrimidine (AP) | cyprodinil                    | Vangard          |
| (Group 9)              | pyrimethanil                  | Scala, Penbotec  |
|                        | cyprodinil + difenoconazole   | Inspire (Super)  |
| Group 29               | fluazinam                     | Omega            |

## **Resistance Management Considerations**

General strategies to off-set resistance: Selection pressure: heavy inoculum X heavy fungicide use **Reduce inoculum and break up fungicide schedules** Long-term disease pressure-**Strategy must consider overall disease spectrum** 

### Practical Considerations for Fungicide STRATEGIES to Offset Resistance



### **Mid-Atlantic Fungal Apple Diseases**

Early season

- Scab drives early season spray schedule Resistance to SIs and QoIs common in Frederick Co. VA
- Mildew -only dry weather disease SI resistance since 2004 & now QoI at VT-AREC.
- Cedar-apple and quince rusts-needs for control (locally)
- 'Summer' diseases- more severe problems in south
  - Sooty blotch / fly speck as many as 60 different fungi
  - Brooks fruit spot- 2nd cover
  - Alternaria and Glomerella leaf blotches (specific cvs.)
  - Rots (frogeye leaf spot/black rot, bitter, Bot, others)

## **Resistance Management Strategies**

Reduce inoculum levels / reduce selection pressure Choose fungicides based on disease spectrum Include a protectant for every disease Minimize use of "at risk" classes of fungicides (After-infection applications increase selection pressure) Rotate classes, always in combination with protectant

## **Resistance Management Strategies**

Utilize copper spray for fire blight and scab (before 1/4" green on fresh market fruit; or later for processing) Include a scab protectant with all "at risk" fungicides Choice of general protectant based on rust pressure, cost, and compatibility with oil (EBDCs, ziram vs. captan) Vangard or Scala, dodine?, at  $\frac{1}{2}$  green (don't control rusts) or mildew Always avoid use of SIs, QoIs and SDHIs alone. Use mixtures or protectants where applicable Consider alternating schedules of mixtures that may

- involve the several "at-risk" groups
- Consider practices that reduce selection pressure (urea)

### Use of urea to reduce scab inoculum

- Apply urea (40 lb/A) just before leaf drop
- Cover trees and ground to wet leaves which have already fallen





 Shredding leaf litter with a flail mower may have some similar effects on leaf breakdown (Also for Alternaria and Glomerella leaf blotches, and Brooks spot)

#### The early season management problem:

Economical control of scab, rusts and mildew Scab- year-to-year inoculum level?; resistance status?

Powdery mildew- chronic effects on yield (20% lvs inf.)

- inoculum buildup without SI use
- Cedar-apple and quince rusts- local problems
  - leaf infection into June some years
  - heavy fruit infection some years
  - yield effects

Effect of Rally/Topguard, other SIs on cedar/quince rusts Positioning of newer fungicides?

Indar 2F, Inspire Super ok if there isn't SI resistance

Luna Sensation?, Merivon?, Luna Tranquility, Fontelis?

## **Resistance Management Strategies**

Plan fungicide schedule with long-term use in mind

#### Tight cluster- 2nd cover

- scab first concern; esp. resistance issues;
- Keep something in schedule for mildew, if needed (SDHI, SI, strobilurin, sulfur, other)?
- include something for rusts, if needed
- Plan for season-long options 1st choice; 2nd choice
- Issues about number of apps. / year (package mixes)
- Use mixtures with protectants as much as possible
  reduce likelihood of resistance
  - reduce internitiou of resistance
  - reduce damage in year resistance appears
  - slows rate of epidemic; more forgiving
- Can't prescribe one program for everyone!

#### 18-yr history of foliar scab control with SI+EBDC Stayman apple, Winchester, VA (VARP)





- Generally poorer and variable control since 2004.
- Credit control in 2006-07 & '10 to EBDCs (dry years).



Control of % leaves infected with mildew by selected fungicides Stayman and Idared apples, 1994-2010, Winchester, VA



'08-09: SIs less effective after 9.8 A treated; QoIs after 4.8 A!

### Questions/comments?

# Evolution of Resistance

